Helium Micro Air Vehicle (MAV) Project Proposal

Fawaz Alenezi, Hamoud Alkhaldi, Abdulrahman Almuqhwi, Mattew Kohr, Conrad Nazario and Randal Spencer

December 7, 2015



Table of Content

- Introduction
- Quality Functional Diagram
- House of Quality
- Project Plan
- Objectives
- Constraint
- Criteria
- State of the Art Research
- Functional Diagram
- Enclosure
- Attachment Concept
- Concept Generation for Gondola
- Sensors
- Demonstration/Experimental Procedure
- Pros and Cons
- Servos and Shafts

- Formulas Used
- Calculations
- Blimp Drawing
- Bill of Materials
- Final Analysis
- Conclusion

Introduction

- Dr. Srinivas Kosaraju requested a Helium MAV device to fly over fires and contaminated areas
- Comparable advantage to commercial designs
- Our objectives include are to optimize weight payload limit, minimize the response time, double the distance of quadcopters and is durable

Quality Functional Deployment

Legend						[N		
O Strong Relationship 9		ce			lcy		stend		>
O Moderate Relationship ³ Quality Characteristics (a.k.a. "Engineering Requirements"	sts	nan	ight	eed	icier	Ze	onsi	amu	anc
▲ Weak Relationship 1	S	Mainte	We	Spe	Life Eff	Si	tude Co	Volt	Buoy
Demanded Quality (a.k.a. "Costumer Requirements")							Alti		
Effectiveness of flight	0		Θ	Θ		Θ	0	0	Θ
Durability	Θ	0			Θ	0			
Storage	0		0	1		Θ		Θ	x
Distance	0		Θ	Θ		Θ	0	0	0
Manufacture	Θ				0	0		0	0
Camera	Θ		0			0		0	Θ
Maintenance	0	0		2		0			x
Cost	Θ	Θ	Θ	Θ	Θ	Θ		Θ	Θ
User friendly	0	0				0			
Shelf parts	Θ	0	0	0	Θ	0		0	0
Weather Sensors	Θ	Θ	Θ	2	0	0		0	0

House of Quality



Project Plan

Task							Week								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Communicate With Client		-													
Defining Project ,Need, Goal, Objectives and Constraints															
Preparing Quality Function Deployment															
State of The art Research															
Creating Functional Diagram															
Creating Conceptual Drawing															
Conceptulaizing Alternative Approach															
Applying Decision Matrices															
Concept Generation and Selection															
Engineering Analysis															
Material Selection															
Building Concept Prototype															
Testing Prototype												12			
Preparing Budget Analysis															
Finalizing The Project Proposal															
Problem Definition and Project Plan Presentation				•											
Problem Definition and Project Plan Report				٠											
Concept Generationand Selection Presentation							-	•							
Concept Generationand Selection Report								•							
Proof Of Concept Demonstraitions												•			
Proof of Concept Report												•			
Project Proposal Presentation												1.20			•
Project Proposal Report															

Objectives

Objective	Measurement	Units
Limit Weight/Payload	Mass	kg
Optimize Response Time	Time	Seconds
Minimize Cost	Currency	\$
Travel Range	Length	m
Durable	Time	Seconds
Easy to Store	Volume	m ³

Constraint

- •Reach a minimum height of 30.5 m
- •\$2000 budget
- Must have mounted cameras with a live feed
- •Remote controlled guidance system
- •Temperature and weather sensors
- •Must move forward, backwards, ascend and descend

and hover at a specified altitude



www.freevector.com





Criteria

Frame
 a) Weight
 b) Volume
 c) Cost

- 4. Motor
- a) Weight
- b) Thrust
- c) Cost
- d) Battery compatibility

- 2. Battery
- a) Life
- b) Amps
- c) Voltage
- d) Weight
- e) Cost

- 3. GPS / Sensors
- a) Controllable
- b) Pre- programmed
- c) Range
- d) Wi-Fi
- e) Cost

- 5. Balloon Envelope
- a) Payload
- b) Balloon material
- c) Cost
- d) Volume
- e) shape

- 6. Camera
- a) Size
- b) Cost
- c) Weight
- d) Resolution
- e) Waterproof

Hindenburg Disaster

State of the Art Research

- Advantages
- Inert gas and is nonflammable
- 7 times lighter than air
- Lifts around 0.96 kg/m3
- Hydrogen (H2) is highly flammable
- Disadvantages
- More expensive than hydrogen



- Weighs twice as much as hydrogen
- Hydrogen can lift about 8-11% more

State of the Art Research

Airlander 1



Source: .hybridairvehicles.com

- 6096 m
- 10,000 kg
- 5 days of flight time

Air Penguin



- 1 m³= 1 kg buoyant force
- 3.7 m long
- Aluminium-Metallised Foil, 22 g/cm
- Li-Polymer battery, 2000 mAh, 4.2 V
- Ultrasound Receiver Capsules

State of the Art Research





http://www.cabelas.com/

- \$399.99 USD
- Estimated time usage: 2 hours
- 74 g
- 4k HD
- 12 Megapixel Sensor
- Night Vision / Waterproof
- Connect to phone



Source: http://www.dji.com/products/

- Phantom 3 Quadcopter
- \$1290.00 USD
- Flight time: 30 Mins (max charge)
- 1280 g
- Max Flight Speed: 16 m/s

Functional Diagram



Attachment Concepts (DM)

1. Velcro

- · Fastener strips containing hooks on one side and loops on the other
- Will be use to fasten the enclosure to the MAV
- 2. Ribbons
- Thin pieces of material used to wrap around enclosure
- 3. Suction Cups (optional)
- Creates a partial vacuum that allows it to stick to smooth surfaces



http://networkingnerd.net/



http://stampsimply.com/



Enclosure's

- 1. Dome- hollowed out containing all components together.
- 2. Compartment- Divides components into separate areas, with chamfered sides and edges to reduce drag. Attached using Velcro on sides and top and ribbons along bottom or create hooks.





Sensors

Berry IMU (barometer, accelrometer, magnometer, gyroscope)

Compatable with both adriuno and rasberry pi.

- Altimeter/Temperature Module MS5607
 - Compatable with different mirco-processors



ozzmaker.com



www.robotshop.com

Demonstration/Expermental Procedure

- Goal:
- Test the maximum lift force based on the amount of helium enclosed by the blimp
- Measure the dimensions and possible enclosure area

Experimental Procedure:

- A large amount of weight will be added, then removed slowly until the blimp will begin to rise
- Tape measure will be used to take dimensions
- View pressure gauge to determine amount of helium going in.

Actual Blimp

- This blimp will be tested by our experimental procedure.
- Length of the blimp is 5 m as stated by manufacturer.





Pros and Cons?

Rope Pros:

- Longer Battery Life
- Longer Flight time
- Safer
- Less Programing
- Easier to control

Cons:

- Heavier
- Limited Range
- Requires a Previous Setup
- Requires more Helium
- Cannot fly at relatively low altitude

Motors

Pros:

- Lighter
- Maximize the lift force
- Smoother Flight Path
- Uses less helium
- Can fly at any desired path without worrying about the obstacles

Cons:

- Shorter Battery Life
- Shorter flight time
- Programing is challenging
- If the program malfunctions, while it in the atmosphere, it could lead to failure

Servos and Shafts

- Servo T010051 which has a torque of 3.30 kg-cm
- Will be connected to thrusters by shaft
- Has a 360 degree rotational angle to turn thrusters
- Plastic casing around connection point for support
- Shaft will extend out of the enclosure



Formulas Used

Buoyancy Equation

 $F_b = g\rho V = \rho ghA$

Thrust Equation

F = [(m * v1) - (m * v2)]/(t2 - t2)

Drag Force Equation

$$F_D = \frac{1}{2}*\rho*C_D*v^2$$

Lift Force Equation

$$L=C_1*\frac{1}{2}*\rho*V^2*A$$

Calculations: Drag Force

- Surface Area Top =112.93 m^2
- Density of Air (Flagstaff, $\frac{\text{kg}}{\text{m}^3}$) = 0.938
- Drag coefficient (C_D)= .025
- Velocity (v)= 5 m/s
- Drag Force (F_D) = 33.1 N

• Surface Area front = $28.27m^2$

• Density of Air (Flagstaff,
$$\frac{\text{kg}}{\text{m}^3}$$
) = 0.938

- Drag coefficient (C_D)= .025
- Velocity (v)= 5 m/s
- Drag Force $(F_D) = 8.2 \text{ N}$

Blimp Drawing



Calculations: Bouyancy

Assumptions Made at Sea Level Atmospheric Temperature = 297 K Atmospheric Pressure = 101.325 kpa Gas Constant R = 8.314 J/(K * mol)Volume (m^3)=8.49

Density of Air (Flagstaff, $\frac{kg}{m^3}$) = 0.938 Density of Air (Sea Level, $\frac{kg}{m^2}$) = 1.225 Density of Helium (Pure, $\frac{kg}{m^3}$) = 0.1786 Volume Displaced (m^3) = 8.49 Buoyancy, Flagstaff (N) = 78.123112 Buoyancy, Sea Level (N) = 102.0265

Bill of Materials

Item	Price	Quantity	Final Price	Final weight
Servos	\$15.60	2-4 (estimate)	\$62.40	176 g
Venom 20C 3S 2100mAh 11.1V	\$23.99	2-3 (estimate)	\$71.97	527.40 g
Shafts	\$10	2-4 (estimate)	\$40.00	400 g (estimate)
Donkey ST2204 Electric motor	\$16.10	2-4	\$64.40	156 g
9x6 APC Propeller	\$2.59	4	\$10.36	15.90 g
Enclosure	-	1	-	208.6g (estimate)

Bill of Material

Item	Cost(\$)	Weight(g)
Transceiver Module	\$5.98	8.5g
Berry IMU	\$28	8.5g
Altimeter Module	\$22.49	8.5g
Blimp	\$221	ТВА
Helium	\$300	ТВА
Camera	\$399.99	74g
Velcro	\$3.49	69.17g
Total	\$1230.08	1652.57g

Final Analysis

PROJECTED WEIGHT: 1230.08g

APPLICATION: Building Heat Leakage, forest fire, Oil leakage

Calculated Cost: \$1652.57



amazon.com



Hobbyking.com

Conclusion

- Our mission statement is to optimize the operation and endurance potential of the aircraft while minimizing response time using inert gas Helium
- Several objectives including minimizing cost, optimizing communication and flight duration will determine many aspects of production
- Using sensors to determine the pressure, speed, temperature, direction, and tilt.
- The bill of materials includes the materials needed to construct the project within the budget
- The product parameters depend on the projected size, weight, and the applications

References

1)http://www.alliancehtw.com/wpimages/wpea0e0afe.jpg

2)Hybrid Air Vehicles. Airlander. Bedford, UK MK42 OTG. <u>http://www.hybridairvehicles.com/downloads/Airlander-21.pdf</u>
3)Festo AG &Co. AirPenguin. Osfildem, Germany 73760. <u>http://www.festo.com/rep/en_corp/assets/pdf/airpenguin_en.pdf</u>
4)Go-Pro inc. Hero 4. Copyright United States. <u>http://shop.gopro.com/cameras/hero/CHDHA-</u>
<u>301.html?skipredirect&gclid=CP2c&ciugcgCFUdffgodwr8P3Q</u>

5) Brain, Marshall. "How Helium Balloons Work" 01 April 2000. HowStuffWorks.com. http://science.howstuffworks.com/helium.htm 18 September 2015

6) Julie Hirtz, Robert Stone, Daniel McAdams, Simon Szykman, and Kristin Wood. "A Functional Basis for Engineering Design: Reconciling and Evolving Previous Efforts." *Research in Engineering Design*, vol. 13, pg 65-82, March 2002.

7) Dieter, George E & Schmidt, Linda C, Engineering Design, 4th ed., New York City, New York: McGraw-Hill, 2009, ISBN 978-0-07-283703-2.

8) Barnes C.H. & James D.N. Shorts Aircraft since 1900. London (1989): Putnam. p. 560. ISBN 0-85177-819-4

9) Dan, Vergano. "100 years in the air." USA Today n.d.: Academic Search Complete. Web. 23 Oct. 2015 ISSN 0734-7456

10) F. Croce, G. B. Appetecchi, L. Persi and B. Scrosati.Nanocomposite polymer electrolytes for lithium batteries. Nature Publishing Group.Jul 30, 1998.

11) Tracking the world. "Four types of GPS tracking systems". 1633 Bayshore Hwy Suite 390 Burlingame, CA 94010. Copyright 2015.